



Zootaxa 4017 (1): 001–126
www.mapress.com/zootaxa/

Copyright © 2015 Magnolia Press

Monograph

ISSN 1175-5326 (print edition)

ZOOTAXA

ISSN 1175-5334 (online edition)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4017.1.1>

<http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:BBFC3D93-6A7E-4862-84EF-021ADE2F4B3A>

ZOOTAXA

4017

Annotated Checklist of California Encyrtidae (Hymenoptera)

ROBERT L. ZUPARKO

Essig Museum of Entomology, 1101 Valley Life Sciences Building, #4780, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3112, USA.

E-mail: rz@berkeley.edu



Magnolia Press
Auckland, New Zealand

Accepted by G. Gibson: 19 Aug. 2015; published: 11 Sept. 2015

Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>

ROBERT L. ZUPARKO
Annotated Checklist of California Encyrtidae (Hymenoptera)
(*Zootaxa* 4017)

126 pp.; 30 cm.

11 Sept. 2015

ISBN 978-1-77557-793-5 (paperback)

ISBN 978-1-77557-794-2 (Online edition)

FIRST PUBLISHED IN 2015 BY

Magnolia Press

P.O. Box 41-383

Auckland 1346

New Zealand

e-mail: zootaxa@mapress.com

<http://www.mapress.com/zootaxa/>

© 2015 Magnolia Press

ISSN 1175-5326 (Print edition)

ISSN 1175-5334 (Online edition)

Table of contents

Abstract	4
Introduction	5
Methods	6
Results and discussion	9
Encyrtinae	9
<i>Acerophagus</i> E. Smith 1880	9
<i>Adelencyrtus</i> Ashmead 1900	11
<i>Agarwalencyrtus</i> Hayat 1981	12
<i>Ageniaspis</i> Dahlbom 1857	12
<i>Agromyzaphagus</i> Gahan 1912	12
<i>Ammonoencyrtus</i> De Santis 1964	13
<i>Anicetus</i> Howard [in Howard & Ashmead] 1896	13
<i>Aphycaspis</i> Hoffer 1954	13
<i>Aphycoides</i> Mercet 1921	14
<i>Arrenophagus</i> Aurivillius 1888	14
<i>Blastothrix</i> Mayr 1876	14
<i>Bothriothorax</i> Ratzeburg 1844	16
<i>Brethesiella</i> Porter 1920	17
<i>Caenohomalopoda</i> Tachikawa 1979	17
<i>Cerapterocerus</i> Westwood 1833	17
<i>Ceraproceroideus</i> Girault 1916	17
<i>Cerchysiella</i> Girault 1914	17
<i>Cerchysius</i> Westwood 1832	18
<i>Cheiloneurus</i> Westwood 1833	18
<i>Cirrhencyrtus</i> Timberlake 1918	20
<i>Coccidencyrtus</i> Ashmead 1900	21
<i>Coccidoctonus</i> Crawford 1912	21
<i>Comperia</i> Gomes 1942	22
<i>Comperiella</i> Howard 1906	22
<i>Copidosoma</i> Ratzeburg 1844	22
<i>Deilio</i> Noyes & Woolley 1994	26
<i>Discodes</i> Förster 1856	26
<i>Diversinervus</i> Silvestri 1915	26
<i>Echthroplexiella</i> Mercet 1921	27
<i>Echthroplexis</i> Förster 1856	27
<i>Encyrtus</i> Latreille 1809	27
<i>Epitetracnemus</i> Girault 1915a	28
<i>Eusemion</i> Dahlbom 1857	28
<i>Exoristobia</i> Ashmead 1904	29
<i>Forcipetricis</i> Burks 1968	29
<i>Formicencyrtus</i> Girault 1916	29
<i>Gahaniella</i> Timberlake 1926	29
<i>Ginsiana</i> Erdös & Novicky 1955	30
<i>Habrolepis</i> Förster 1856	30
<i>Helegonatopus</i> Perkins 1906	31
<i>Hexacnemus</i> Timberlake 1926	31
<i>Homalotyloidea</i> Mercet 1921	31
<i>Homalotylus</i> Mayr 1876	31
<i>Isodromus</i> Howard 1887	32
<i>Ixodiphagus</i> Howard 1907	33
<i>Lamennaisia</i> Girault 1922	33
<i>Mahencyrtus</i> Masi 1917	34
<i>Mayridia</i> Mercet, 1921	34
<i>Merlen</i> Noyes & Woolley 1994	34
<i>Meromyzobia</i> Ashmead 1900	34
<i>Metablastothrix</i> Sugonjaev 1964	34
<i>Metanotalia</i> Mercet 1921	35
<i>Metaphycus</i> Mercet 1917	35
<i>Microterys</i> Thomson 1876	42
<i>Mucrenencyrtus</i> Noyes 1980	43
<i>Neococcidencyrtus</i> Compere 1928	43
<i>Oesol</i> Noyes & Woolley 1994	43

<i>Oobius</i> Trjapitzin 1963	43
<i>Ooencyrtus</i> Ashmead 1900	44
<i>Parablastothrix</i> Mercet 1917	45
<i>Paratetracnemoidea</i> Girault 1915a	45
<i>Pentelicus</i> Howard 1895a	45
<i>Perpolia</i> Noyes & Woolley 1994	46
<i>Plagiomerus</i> Crawford 1910	46
<i>Prionomastix</i> Mayr 1876	46
<i>Prionomitus</i> Mayr 1876	46
<i>Prochiloneurus</i> Silvestri 1915	47
<i>Pseudencyrtoides</i> Gordh & Trjapitzin 1975	48
<i>Pseudencyrtus</i> Ashmead 1900	48
<i>Pseudhomalopoda</i> Girault 1915e	48
<i>Psilophryoidea</i> Compere 1928	48
<i>Psyllaephagus</i> Ashmead 1900	48
<i>Rhytidothorax</i> Ashmead 1900	50
<i>Saera</i> Noyes & Woolley 1994	50
<i>Sectiliclava</i> Hoffer 1957	50
<i>Stemmatosteres</i> Timberlake 1918	50
<i>Syrphophagus</i> Ashmead 1900	50
<i>Tachardiobius</i> Timberlake 1926	51
<i>Tachinaephagus</i> Ashmead 1904	52
<i>Tineophoctonus</i> Ashmead 1900	52
<i>Trechnites</i> Thomson 1876	52
<i>Trichomasthus</i> Thomson 1876	53
<i>Trjapitzinellus</i> Viggiani 1967	53
<i>Tyndarichus</i> Howard 1910	53
<i>Zaomma</i> Ashmead 1900	54
<i>Tetracneminae</i>	54
<i>Aenasius</i> Walker 1846	54
<i>Anagyrus</i> Howard [in Howard & Ashmead] 1896	55
<i>Anusioptera</i> Brues 1910	57
<i>Avernes</i> Noyes & Woolley 1994	57
<i>Blepyrus</i> Howard 1898a	58
<i>Charitopus</i> Förster 1856	58
<i>Coccidoxenoides</i> Girault 1915a	58
<i>Dicarnosis</i> Mercet 1921	59
<i>Ectromatopsis</i> Compere 1947	59
<i>Gyranusoidea</i> Compere 1947	60
<i>Leptomastidea</i> Mercet 1916	61
<i>Leptomastix</i> Förster 1856	61
<i>Mira</i> Schellenberg 1803	61
<i>Neocharitopus</i> Hayat, Alam & Agarwal 1975	62
<i>Neodusmetia</i> Kerrich 1964	62
<i>Pseudleptomastix</i> Girault 1915d	62
<i>Rhopus</i> Förster 1856 [New state record]	63
<i>Tetracnemoidea</i> Howard 1898a	63
<i>Tetracnemus</i> Westwood 1837a	64
<i>Zarhopalus</i> Ashmead 1900	64
Undetermined Genera	65
Acknowledgments	66
References	66
APPENDIX I	80
APPENDIX II	121
APPENDIX III	123

Abstract

Based on examination of the literature and specimens, 208 described species in 90 genera of Encyrtidae are listed from California. Data on the original publication, deposition of types, geographic distribution and host records of these species are presented. Forty-three species were established in biocontrol programs, 157 are presumed native, 7 appear to be adventitious introductions, and the origin of one is undetermined. An additional 276 morphospecies are also listed as present

in the state within an additional 21 described genera and potentially up to 20 undescribed genera. Altogether, 31 new genera and 36 new species are recorded for the state, as well as 70 new parasitoid-host records.

Errors pertaining to California taxa in previously published papers are corrected. *Metaphycus immaculatus* (Howard) is reported as a **new combination** (from *Aphycus* Mayr).

Three appendices are included: a host/parasitoid listing for the described species present in the state, a listing of taxa previously reported from California under invalid names, and a list of taxa either erroneously reported from the state, or unsuccessful biocontrol introductions.

Key words: Biodiversity, new host records, new state records

Introduction

“Among the many thousands of minute Hymenopterous insects existing in the world and to which have been given the popular name Chalcid flies, there is probably no single family that is of more interest or of greater economic importance than the family Encyrtidae.” Ashmead, 1900.

“From a distance, this is the most unlikable family in the chalcidoid series but close acquaintance reveals so many fascinating qualities that students, after some experience, will no doubt choose it as a favorite ... the whole diverse and varied panorama is such that the most torpid of interests must finally become conscious of a spell.” Girault, 1915.

A century has passed since W.H. Ashmead and A.A. Girault penned these statements as introductions to their respective treatments of the family Encyrtidae, but they certainly bear repeating today. Not only is this group one of the largest and most diverse families of the parasitic Hymenoptera, but many species have proven to be of immense economic benefit through their use in biological control programs of agricultural pests.

Over the past three decades, there have been major treatments of the family of the Neotropical (Noyes 1980, 2000, 2004, 2010), Palaearctic (Trjapitzin 1989; Guerrieri & Noyes 2000, 2005; Zhang & Huang 2004), Oriental (Noyes & Hayat 1994; Hayat 2006) and Australian (Noyes 1988b; Dahms & Gordh 1997) faunas. In contrast, the fauna of the United States has never been studied systematically, although keys to the Nearctic genera were produced by Trjapitzin & Gordh (1978a, b) and Noyes *et al.* (1997), and a checklist of the Mexican species was compiled by Trjapitzin & Ruiz-Cancino (1995).

Within the Nearctic region, there is greater ecological diversity in California than in any other area of comparable size, which predicts a rich endemic fauna in the state. Considering all taxa, California has both the highest total number of species as well as the highest number of endemic species of any state in the union (Salwasser 2003). Noyes (2001) recorded 118 apparently native species of encyrtids from California, comprising 25% of the 468 species then known from the United States. Another 10 species have been recorded from the state by other workers, for a total of 128 native species reported in the state prior to this study.

Five workers authored the majority of described Californian encyrtids: W.H. Ashmead, L.O. Howard, A.A. Girault, P.H. Timberlake and H. Compere. The works of the former three were notoriously short and typically unaccompanied by illustrations. In contrast, Timberlake and Compere, both of whom were involved in research and implementation of biological control programs, produced superior (and in Compere's case, well-illustrated) descriptions and systematic works that are still largely useful today. This reflects the case that the study of Californian encyrtids in the 20th century was largely that of agents introduced into the state in biological control programs, notably for those species attacking economically important pests in the Central and Imperial Valleys, or the coastal plain from San Diego north to San Francisco, while studies of native, non-economic species occupied an ancillary role. Thus, while the biologies of many imported species are well elucidated, much less is known about the endemic taxa.

In the mid 1970s an exchange program between the US National Academy of Sciences and the USSR Academy of Sciences led to collaboration between two prestigious specialists, Gordon Gordh and Vladimir A. Trjapitzin, which marked a reenergization in the study of endemic encyrtids from the Nearctic region. Although the native California fauna still remains largely unstudied, Trjapitzin has continued to author a long series of papers on the Mexican fauna (see references in Trjapitzin *et al.* 2008), which share a number of species with the California fauna.

Coincident with the paucity of published works on California Encyrtidae, the number and diversity of specimens found in museum collections are generally quite poor. Due to their small size, general collectors do not