



<http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3838.3.4>

<http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:6A8BC926-D948-4324-9E4C-2F6EEC83AC6D>

## Redescription of the fig wasp genus *Sycophilodes* Joseph (Chalcidoidea: Pteromalidae: Epichrysomallinae) with description of a new species from India

ACHINTYA PRAMANIK<sup>1,2</sup> & DEBJANI DEY<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Pusa Collection, Division of Entomology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi-110012, India.

E-mail: [achintyapramanik@gmail.com](mailto:achintyapramanik@gmail.com) (AP), [ddeyiari@hotmail.com](mailto:ddeyiari@hotmail.com) (DD)

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding author.

### Abstract

*Sycophilodes* Joseph is a non-pollinating fig wasp genus so far reported only from *Ficus benghalensis* L. The genus *Sycophilodes* is revised with redescription of the male and the first description of the female of its only currently included species, *S. moniliformis*. A second species, *Sycophilodes uluberiaensis* Pramanik & Dey **sp. nov.** is described. Available keys are modified and supplemented to facilitate identification of *Sycophilodes* and a key for distinguishing both sexes of the two included species is presented. All type specimens are deposited in the National Pusa Collection, IARI, New Delhi.

**Key words:** non-pollinating fig wasp, taxonomy, identification key, *Ficus benghalensis*

### Introduction

*Sycophilodes* Joseph (Chalcidoidea: Pteromalidae: Epichrysomallinae), a monotypic genus of non-pollinating fig wasps, was established by Joseph (1961) based on a single male of *Sycophilodes moniliformis* Joseph reared from syconia of *Ficus benghalensis* L. (Moraceae) collected from the Botanical Gardens, Trivandrum, Kerala, India. We reared males and females of two species of *Sycophilodes* from syconia of *F. benghalensis* collected from Delhi and West Bengal. The female of *S. moniliformis* was collected for the first time and we established that the other species represented by both females and males is new. In this article, *Sycophilodes* is revised, the male of *S. moniliformis* is redescribed, and the new species along with the female of *S. moniliformis* is described and illustrated in detail. A key for distinguishing both sexes of the two species of *Sycophilodes* is also provided.

Hill (1967) formally established the subfamily Epichrysomallinae and classified it in Torymidae. Since then the placement of this subfamily has been subjected to several familial changes within Chalcidoidea. Wiebes classified it in Pteromalidae (Bouček *et al.* 1981), but Bouček (1988) moved it to Agaonidae. Subsequent phylogenetic analyses did not support this placement and left Epichrysomallinae unclassified to family (Gibson *et al.* 1999; Rasplus *et al.* 1998). Recently, based on detailed phylogenetic analyses, Epichrysomallinae was classified in Pteromalidae (Heraty *et al.* 2013).

*Sycophilodes* was originally placed in the subfamily Idarninae of the now unrecognized family Callimomidae (Joseph 1961). Narendran and Sheela (1993) included this genus in Epichrysomallinae along with nine other genera. They provided a key to the Oriental genera, mostly based on females, but based on a single male for this genus. Because most of the genera in Epichrysomallinae are based upon females, but *Sycophilodes* on a male, revision of this genus is required to include females. Here we redescribe and illustrate the male of *S. moniliformis* Joseph along with providing the first description of females of this species, describe and illustrate both sexes of a new species in the genus, provide modified keys to differentiate *Sycophilodes* from other epichrysomalline genera, and provide a key to the species of *Sycophilodes* based on both males and females.

same number of setae on the scutellum, and a weak occipital carina, *Sycophilodes* females are differentiated by their bilobed lower clypeal margin (*vs* a nearly straight lower clypeal margin) and the mesoscutum being about as long as wide (*vs* mesoscutum a little more than 1.5× its median length) (Narendran & Sheela 1993). Males of *Epichrysomalla* Girault share the same antennal formula as *Sycophilodes* males but differ from the latter by having the mesoscutellum broadly bordering the mesoscutum and by the absence of an occipital carina (Bouček 1988). Both males and females of *Meselatus* Girault and *Sycophilomorpha* Joseph and Abdurahiman share the same antennal formula as *Sycophilodes*. *Meselatus* is distinguished from *Sycophilodes* by having at least 3 bristles on either side of the scutellum (*vs* 2 bristles), the fore wing often with distinct infumation below the parastigma and marginal vein (*vs* no infumation) (Bouček 1988), labial palpi 2-segmented (*vs* 4-segmented) and antennae inserted at middle of head (*vs* lower half of face, slightly above imaginary line connecting lower margin of eyes) (Chen *et al.* 1999). *Sycophilomorpha* is distinctly different from *Sycophilodes* because of the presence of a very strong occipital carina (*vs* weak occipital carina) and rudimentary postmarginal vein (Joseph & Abdurahiman 1969, figs 5, 13) (*vs* postmarginal vein at least half as long as stigmal vein). When erecting the genus, Joseph (1961) mentioned that the thorax of *Sycophilodes* strongly resembles *Sycobia* type, but *Sycophilodes* is distinct from *Sycobia* Walker due to the presence of an occipital carina (*vs* absent) and a distinct postmarginal vein (*vs* rudimentary).

Within *Sycophilodes*, the *S. uluberiaensis* females are clearly distinguished from female *S. moniliformis* by the postmarginal vein being half the length of the stigmal vein (Figs 29, 30) (*vs* postmarginal vein as long as stigmal vein), scape 2× (*vs* scape 3×) as long as pedicel, clava 3× (*vs* 2.5×) as long as preceding funicular segment and axilla with one long seta on upper part of posterior half in addition to a row of 5 setae on lower half (*vs* with one long seta on upper part of posterior half in addition to 2 small setae at lower corner). Males of *S. uluberiaensis* are differentiated by having the basal stalk of the stigmal vein short and thick (Figs 39, 40) (*vs* long and slender), torular-ocular distance 3× (*vs* 2.3×) intertorular distance, interspiracular distance 3× (*vs* 4.3×) distance between spiracle and posterior propodeal margin, and fore femur 1.6× (*vs* 2×) the length of the fore coxa.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Jean-Yves Rasplus of INRA, Montpellier, France for sending the photographs of the holotype of *S. moniliformis* Joseph. The first author is grateful to Department of Science and Technology, Govt. of India, for financial support under INSPIRE Fellowship (INSPIRE Code: IF 120158). We thank Head, Division of Entomology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, for providing necessary facilities. We are highly obliged to Dr. V.V. Ramamurthy, Professor, Division of Entomology, IARI, for his constructive suggestions during the preparation of the manuscript. We would also like to express our sincere gratitude to the two anonymous reviewers for their critical comments towards improvement of the earlier version of this manuscript.

## References

- Bouček, Z. (1988) *Australian Chalcidoidea (Hymenoptera): A Biosystematic Revision of Genera and Fourteen Families, with a Reclassification of Species*. CAB International Institute of Entomology, Wallingford, UK, 832 pp.
- Bouček, Z., Watsham, A. & Wiebes, J.T. (1981) The fig wasp fauna of the receptacles of *Ficus thonningii* (Hymenoptera, Chalcidoidea). *Tijdschrift voor Entomologie*, 124 (5), 149–233.
- Chen, Y.R., Chuang, W.C. & Wu, W.J. (1999) Chalcid wasps on *Ficus microcarpa* L. in Taiwan (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea). *Journal of Taiwan Museum*, 52 (1), 39–79.
- Gibson, G.A.P., Heraty, J.M. & Woolley, J.B. (1999) Phylogenetics and classification of Chalcidoidea and Mymarommatoidea: A review of current concepts (Hymenoptera, Apocrita). *Zoologica Scripta*, 28 (1–2), 87–124.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1463-6409.1999.00016.x>
- Heraty, J.M., Burks, R.A., Cruaud, A., Gibson, G.A.P., Liljebblad, J., Munro, J., Rasplus, J.-Y., Delvare, G., Jansta, P., Gumovsky, A., Huber, J., Woolley, J.B., Krogmann, L., Heydon, S., Polaszek, A., Schmidt, S., Darling, D.C., Gates, M.W., Mottern, J., Murray, E., Dal Molin, A., Triapitsyn, S., Baur, H., Pinto, J. D., van Noort, S., George, J. & Yoder, M. (2013) A phylogenetic analysis of the megadiverse Chalcidoidea (Hymenoptera). *Cladistics*, 1–77.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cla.12006>
- Hill, D.S. (1967) Figs (*Ficus* spp.) and fig-wasps (Chalcidoidea). *Journal of Natural History*, 1 (3), 413–434.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222936700770401>

- Joseph, K.J. (1961) Contributions to our knowledge of fig insects from India VIII. Notes on some new fig insects. *Journal of Karnataka University*, 4, 88–100.
- Joseph, K.J. & Abdurahiman, U.C. (1969) Contributions to our knowledge of fig-insect (Hymenoptera, Agaonidae) from India IV. Further descriptions of new fig-insects from *Ficus bengalensis* L. *Oriental Insects*, 3, 37–45.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00305316.1969.10433894>
- Narendran, T.C. & Sheela, S. (1993) Descriptions of an interesting new genus and a new species of Epichrysomalinae (Hymenoptera: Agaonidae) from India. *Journal of the Zoological Society of Kerala*, 3 (1), 7–12.
- Rasplus, J.Y., Kerdelhue, C., Le Clainche, I. & Mondor, G. (1998) Molecular phylogeny of fig wasps. Agaonidae are not monophyletic. *Compte Rendu De l'Academie Des Sciences de Paris*, 321 (6), 517–526.  
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0764-4469\(98\)80784-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0764-4469(98)80784-1)
- Rasplus, J.Y., Harry, M., Perrin, H., Chassagnard, M.T. & Lachaise, D. (2003) Les *Ficus* (Moraceae) et l'entomofaune des figues (Hym. Agaonidae, Pteromalidae, Torymidae, Eurytomidae; Dipt. Drosophilidae; Col. Curculionidae) du mont Nimba en Guinée, in Lamotte, M & Roy, R. (eds), Le peuplement animal du mont Nimba (Guinée, Cote d'Ivoire, Liberia). *Mémoires du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle*, 190, 107–182.