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#### Abstract

We report on a collection of mites in the family Laelapidae associated with scarabaeid beetles in Iran. Five known species are recorded from Iran for the first time: Coleolaelaps asiaticus Karg, 1999, Hypoaspis integer Berlese, 1911, Hypoaspis pentodoni Costa, 1971, Hypoaspis phyllognathi Costa, 1971, and Hypoaspis terrestris (Leonardi, 1899). Four new species are described: Coleolaelaps costai sp. nov., Hypoaspis larvicolus sp. nov., Hypoaspis maryamae sp. nov., and Hypoaspis melolonthae sp. nov. The ecological relationship between these mites and their beetle hosts has not been analysed in detail, but they probably do not play a major role as biological control agents of pest scarabs.
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## Introduction

The classification of the mite family Laelapidae is unstable as a result of continued confusion about the definition and status of some of its genera. Different concepts of genera and subgenera have been used by, for example, Evans \& Till (1966), Van Aswegen \& Loots (1970), Tenorio (1982) and Karg (1993). Very few species from western Asia have contributed to the existing classification, and the family Laelapidae in Iran is very poorly known. Faraji et al. (2008) published a key to 21 Iranian species in the genus Hypoaspis sensu lato, but commented that the identification of some of these species remains unconfirmed. The present paper is part of a project which has the objective of increasing the knowledge of this poorly studied regional fauna of Laelapidae, based on extensive recent collections of free-living and insect-associated species.

This paper deals with the genera Coleolaelaps Berlese, 1914 and Hypoaspis Canestrini, 1884. These two genera are superficially similar in morphology and have often been confused with each other. Species in both genera have long setae on the dorsal shield and on some leg segments, and these setae often appear wavy in slide-mounted specimens. Species in both genera are also similar in their biology, occurring in symbiotic relationships with soildwelling Coleoptera. This problem was discussed in detail by Costa \& Hunter (1971). Their results are summarised in Table 1, which allows a clear separation of these genera.

## Material and methods

Laelapidae phoretic on beetles were collected in the Tehran, Yazd and Shiraz areas of Iran in the years 2008 and 2009. Beetles of the family Scarabaeidae were collected at light traps and placed individually in vials of $70 \%$ ethanol. Beetle larvae with their associated mites were also excavated from soil. Mites were removed from the beetles, cleared in Nesbitt's solution and mounted in Hoyer's medium. The nomenclature used for the dorsal idiosomal chaetotaxy is that of Lindquist \& Evans (1965), the leg chaetotaxy is that of Evans (1963a), the palp chaetotaxy that of Evans (1963b), and names of other anatomical structures mostly follow Evans \& Till (1979). Holotypes and
paratypes of the new species are deposited in the Jalal Afshar Zoological Museum, College of Agriculture, University of Tehran, Iran (JAZM); paratypes and representative specimens are also deposited in the Australian National Insect Collection, CSIRO Ecosystem Sciences, Canberra ACT, Australia (ANIC).

## Genus Coleolaelaps Berlese

Coleolaelaps Berlese, 1914: 141. Type species Laelaps (Iphis) agrestis Berlese, 1887, by original designation.
Diagnosis. Laelapidae in which the podonotal section of the dorsal shield is distinctly wider than the opisthonotal section, and the podonotal and opisthonotal sections are separated by lateral incisions at a level between setae j 6 and J1. Podonotal shield with a maximum of 17 pairs of setae and opisthonotal section with a maximum of 11 pairs; dorsal idiosomal setae variable in length, marginal setae longest and often appearing wavy in slide-mounted specimens. Sternal shield usually reduced in size and longer than wide, its anterior margin often poorly defined; genital shield with one pair of setae, or genital setae inserted in soft skin adjacent to genital shield. Anal shield with post-anal seta usually distinctly longer than para-anal setae. Hypostome with six rows of minute teeth; hypostomal seta h3 not distinctly longer than other hypostomal setae. Legs III longer than legs I, legs IV longest; legs without blunt spurs or spines; greatly elongate macrosetae present on femur, genu and tarsus IV, but not on femur II and III.

Notes on the genus. The only species that causes difficulties with this genus diagnosis is Coleolaelaps abnormalis Costa \& Hunter, 1971, which lacks incisions in the dorsal shield, and has leg III shorter than or equal to leg I. In other characters, C. abnormalis is clearly a species of Coleolaelaps. The most recent detailed revision of the genus Coleolaelaps was by Costa \& Hunter (1971), who listed nine species. Since then new species have been described from Turkey, Kazakhstan, China, and Japan, to bring the total to 14 species (Karg, 1999). It is possible that some species described in other genera would be better placed in Coleolaelaps; for example Hypoaspis lepisternalis Ma, 2004 appears to be a species of Coleolaelaps. All known species are associated with Melolonthine beetles in the genera Anoxia and Polyphylla, and the genus therefore has a Holarctic distribution which follows that of the host beetles. Costa \& Hunter (1971) described extensive intra-species variation in some species of Coleolaelaps, and that observation is confirmed by the species described here. The dorsal and sternal shields often have irregular and asymmetrical edges, and some setae may be found either on the edges of the shields or in the adjacent soft skin in different specimens, or on left and right sides of the same specimen.

## Coleolaelaps asiaticus Karg

Coleolaelaps asiaticus Karg, 1999: 431.
Coleolaelaps asiaticus.- Karg \& Rössner, 1999: 225.

Specimens examined. Eleven females, Karaj, $35^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 50^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, alt. 1384 m , O. Joharchi coll., 11 June 2009, on Polyphylla olivieri adults; three females, Shiraz, 10 May 2008, O. Joharchi coll., on Polyphylla olivieri adults; two females, Yazd, $31^{\circ} 33^{\prime}$ N, $54^{\circ} 11^{\prime}$ E, alt 2300 m., O. Joharchi coll., on Polyphylla olivieri adults.

Notes. Coleolaelaps asiaticus was described from Kazakhstan, and is now recorded for only the second time. All the specimens have come from beetles in the genus Polyphylla (Karg \& Rössner, 1999). This species may be distinguished from most other species of Coleolaelaps by the presence of two postero-lateral setae on genu IV, the relatively short dorsal shield setae in the J series, and the short peritreme, which reaches only to the posterior margin of coxa $I$.

## Coleolaelaps costai sp. nov.

Specimens examined. Holotype, female, Tehran, Karaj, Shahrestanak, $35^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 51^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, alt 2130 m , 27 June 2009, O. Joharchi coll., on adult Polyphylla olivieri (in JAZM). Paratypes: four females, same data as holotype (in JAZM and ANIC).


FIGURES 1-9. Coleolaelaps costai sp. nov., female. 1. Dorsal idiosoma; 2. Ventral idiosoma; 3. Epitome; 4. Hypostome; 5. Chelicera; 6. Genu III; 7. Femur IV; 8. Genu IV; 9. Tarsus IV. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for $1,2,6,7,8,9,50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for 3, 4, 5.

Female. Dorsal idiosoma. Dorsal shield length 1064-1184 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, width at level of r 3 596-602 $\mu \mathrm{m}(\mathrm{n}=2)$ (Fig. 1). Opisthonotal section narrower than podonotal section, podonotal and opisthonotal sections separated by lateral incisions at a level between j 6 and J1. Podonotal region with 17 pairs of setae plus five pairs of setae on lateral soft skin, z3 absent. Opisthonotal region with weak reticulation, with 11 pairs of setae on shield (J1-J5, Z1-Z5, S5) and approximately 19 pairs on lateral soft skin, S5 on the shield (length $336-344 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), other S series setae outside shield, S5 very long and wavy. All setae except z1 long, j 3 and $\mathrm{z} 2210-231 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{j} 3$ long enough to reach past $\mathrm{j} 5, \mathrm{j} 6$ $(168-176 \mu \mathrm{~m})$, J 1 long enough to reach past J 2 , $\mathrm{j} 5(142-147 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ not long enough to reach j 6 .

Ventral idiosoma (Fig. 2). Tritosternum with paired pilose laciniae; pre-sternal area with indistinct granular ornamentation. Sternal shield longer than wide, with straight anterior margin, entire surface with distinct polygonal ornamentation. Sternal setae long and smooth, st1 outside shield, one pair of circular pores on anterior margin of sternal shield and a pair of circular pores between st2 and st3. Metasternal setae st4 located in soft skin, metasternal pores located on minute platelets. Endopodal plates II/III triangular, fused to sternal shield to varying degree in different specimens, endopodal plates III/IV elongate, narrow, curved. Genital shield short and tongue-shaped, length 218-231 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, maximum width $100-126 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, posterior edge rounded with two minute platelets outside the shield, surface smooth with a few longitudinal lines, genital setae st5 outside the shield. Paragenital pores located on soft skin close to JV1. Anal shield triangular, its anterior half with lineate ornamentation, para-anal setae shorter than unpaired post-anal seta, cribrum large, anal pores indistinct, located on lateral edge of anal shield. Opisthogastric skin with one pair of oval metapodal plates and 14 pairs of smooth setae including st5, JV5 very long and wavy. Exopodal plates behind coxa IV small and narrow. Peritrematal shields free, peritremes short, extending from coxa IV to mid level of coxa II, post-stigmatal section long and narrow, with one pair of post-stigmatal pores.

Gnathosoma. Epistome triangular, irregularly denticulate (Fig. 3). Hypostomal groove with six rows of denticles, each with about $8-10$ small teeth except sixth row with only five teeth, and smooth anterior and posterior transverse lines. Hypostome with four pairs of setae, all similar in length (Fig. 4). Corniculi robust and horn-like, reaching mid-level of palp femur. Palp chaetotaxy: trochanter 2 , femur 5, genu 6 , tibia 12 , tarsus 15 , genu with a distinct dorso-distal triangular condyle, all setae smooth and needle-like, palp tarsal claw two-tined. Fixed digit of chelicera with about seven small teeth and one large distal tooth (Fig. 5), pilus dentilis short and robust, dorsal seta short, thick, prostrate, movable digit with two large teeth, arthrodial membrane with a rounded flap and a few short filaments.

Legs. Legs II and III short, leg IV longest. Chaetotaxy: Leg I: coxa $00 / 10 / 10$, trochanter $11 / 11 / 11$, femur 2 $3 / 12 / 32$, genu $23 / 23 / 12$, tibia $23 / 23 / 12$. Leg II: coxa $00 / 10 / 10$, trochanter $10 / 10 / 11$, femur $23 / 12 / 21$ (pd1 and $p d 2$ long), genu $23 / 12 / 12$ (ventral setae thick), tibia $22 / 12 / 12$ (ventral setae thick). Leg III: coxa $0 / 10 / 10$, trochanter $11 / 10 / 11$, femur $12 / 11 / 01$, genu $22 / 12 / 11$ (ad1 long, 184-192 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, ad2 long 147-160 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, ventral setae all thick, Fig. 6), tibia: $21 / 12 / 11$ (pl and ventral setae thick). Leg IV: coxa $00 / 10 / 00$, trochanter $21 / 10 / 11$, femur $12 / 11 / 01$ (macroseta $a d 1336-348 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, ad2 long 130-142, Fig. 7), genu $22 / 13 / 01$ (macrosetae ad1 394$420 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $a d 2184-189 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Fig. 8), tibia $21 / 13 / 12$ (lateral and ventral setae thick). Tarsi I-IV with 18 setae, 3 3/2 $3 / 23+m v, m d$. On tarsus IV macrosetae $a d 2(231-240 \mu \mathrm{~m}), p d 2(248-252 \mu \mathrm{~m})$, $a d 3(320-336 \mu \mathrm{~m})$, $p d 3(344-$ $356 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) (Fig. 9). All pre-tarsi with a pair of claws and a long thin membranous ambulacrum.

Insemination structures: Insemination ducts opening on posterior margin of coxae III, sacculus indistinct, apparently unsclerotised.

Etymology. This species is named in honour of Michael Costa, who made many important contributions to the systematics of the family Laelapidae.

Notes. Coleolaelaps costai differs from all other species in the genus by the presence of nine setae on genu IV, a short peritreme, and 17 pairs of setae on the podonotal shield. Podonotal setae j 6 are unusually long, z 3 is absent, and S5 is very long and wavy. On the legs, both genua III and IV have two long dorsal setae.

## Genus Hypoaspis Canestrini

Hypoaspis Canestrini 1884: 1569. Type species Gamasus krameri G. \& R. Canestrini, 1881, designated by Berlese (1904).
Diagnosis. Laelapidae with an oval-shaped dorsal shield without lateral incisions, bearing 35-40 pairs of setae, including one or more pairs of Zx setae between the J and Z setae, all dorsal shield setae smooth and pointed,
except a few occasionally very slightly pilose. Some opisthonotal setae greatly elongated and often appearing wavy in slide-mounted specimens, especially Z 4 , which is at least three times as long as J5. Post-anal seta distinctly shorter than para-anal setae. Hypostomal setae h3 distinctly longer than other hypostomal setae. Sternal shield fully developed with distinct anterior margin, approximately as wide as long, fused with endopodal plates between coxae II and III. Greatly elongated macrosetae present on femora II and III. Tarsus II with two subterminal blunt spines (al1 and pl1).

Notes on the genus. The genus Hypoaspis as defined here corresponds to the subgenus Hypoaspis (Hypoaspis) or Hypoaspis sens. strict. of most other authors. Great numbers of species have been described in a very looselydefined genus Hypoaspis, but Hypoaspis sens. strict. is quite small. Karg (1979) listed only 13 species, which are most easily recognised by the greatly elongate setae Z 4 on the dorsal shield. Most species that have been placed in Hypoaspis actually belong to other genera, especially Gaeolaelaps Evans \& Till, 1966 (reviewed by Beaulieu, 2009), because they lack the diagnostic long setae Z4. Other species that we include in Hypoaspis, but which were not listed by Karg (1979), include H. boas (Ryke \& Meyer, 1957), H. longchuanensis Gu \& Duan, 1991 (= H. longchuanensis Gu \& Duan, 1993), H. hunanensis Ma \& Zheng, 2000, and H. terrestrisimilis Ma et al., 2003. The only species of Hypoaspis (Hypoaspis) previously recorded from Iran are H. (H.) krameri and H. (H.) polyphyllae Khanjani \& Ueckermann, 2005 (Faraji et al., 2008). Kamali et al. (2001) listed several other species of Hypoaspis from Iran, but we place most of those species in other genera.

## Hypoaspis integer Berlese

Hypoaspis integer Berlese, 1911: 186.
Hypoaspis integer.- Costa, 1971: 76; Costa \& Hunter, 1971: 324.
Hypoaspis (Hypoaspis) integer.- Karg, 1979: 70, 1982: 236, 1993: 136.
Coleolaelaps integer.—Berlese, 1914: 142; Grandi, 1925: 212; Samšiňák, 1960: 280.
Specimens examined. 20 females, Karaj, Nazarabad, 20 June 2009, O. Joharchi coll., on Polyphylla sp.; 20 females, Karaj, Damavand, 20 June 2009, O. Joharchi coll., on Polyphylla sp; 20 females, Karaj, Sharestanak, 20 June 2009, O. Joharchi coll., on Polyphylla sp.; 20 females, Yazd, 17 June 2008, O. Joharchi coll., on Polyphylla sp.; 10 females, Shiraz, 7 September 2008, O. Joharchi coll., on Polyphylla sp.; 20 females, Gilan, 10 May 2009, O. Joharchi coll., on Polyphylla sp.; 15 females, Tabriz, 10 September 2008, O. Joharchi coll., on Polyphylla sp.; five females, Isfahan, 7 September 2008, O. Joharchi coll., on Polyphylla sp.

Notes. Willmann (1935) described and illustrated the female of a species identified as Coleolaelaps integer, but this appears to be a completely different species, with very short setae in the central area of the dorsal shield.

## Hypoaspis larvicolus sp. nov.

Specimens examined. Holotype, female, Iran, Karaj, $35^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 50^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, alt $1384 \mathrm{~m}, 10$ June 2008, O. Joharchi coll., on larva of Polyphylla sp. (in JAZM). Paratypes: five females, two males, same data as holotype (in JAZM and ANIC).

Description. Female. Dorsal idiosoma. Dorsal shield oval shaped, length 956-1008 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, width at level of r3 $516-525 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{n}=4)$, with weak posterolateral reticulation (Fig. 10). Shield with 38 pairs of long setae, 21 pairs of podonotal setae on shield, plus s6 and r6 outside shield, and 17 pairs of opisthonotal setae on shield, including two pairs of Zx setae between $J$ and $Z$ setae; Z4 longest ( $310-365 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), s4 (194-231 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), r4 (252-260 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), j3 (252$273 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) also long, j 4 long enough to reach $\mathrm{z5}$, j6 long enough to reach past J1 but not long enough to reach to J2, J1 long enough to reach Zx1 but not long enough to reach J3, J4 long enough to reach J5. Soft skin surrounding shield with nine pairs of setae.

Ventral idiosoma (Fig. 11). Tritosternum with paired pilose laciniae, pre-sternal area weakly sclerotised. Sternal shield with straight anterior margin and slightly concave posterior margin, with three pairs of smooth sternal setae, one pair of lyrifissures adjacent to setae st1, and a pair of circular pores between st2 and st3, surface of sternal shield with distinct polygonal ornamentation throughout. Metasternal platelets absent, metasternal setae st4 and metasternal pores located on small circular or elongate platelets in soft skin, some specimens with one or both


FIGURES 10-20. Hypoaspis larvicolus sp. nov., female. 10. Dorsal idiosoma; 11. Ventral idiosoma; 12. Epistome; 13. Hypostome; 14. Chelicera; 15. Femur II; 16. Femur III; 17. Genu III; 18. Femur IV; 19. Genu IV; 20. Tarsus IV. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for $10,11,15-20,50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for $12-14$.


FIGURES 21-24. Hypoaspis larvicolus sp. nov. 21. female, sternal shield with both metasternal pores on sternal shield; 22. female, sternal shield with one metasternal pore on sternal shield and one off shield; 23, female, sternal shield with both metasternal pores on separate elongate platelets; 24. male, chelicera, unsclerotised section of spermatodactyl arrowed. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for figures 21-24.
pores on posterior edge of sternal shield (Figs 21-23). Endopodal plates II/III completely fused to sternal shield, endopodal plates III/IV elongate, narrow, curved. Genital shield tongue-shaped, length $327-336 \mu$ m, maximum width $155-147 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, posterior margin rounded, surface with polygonal ornamentation, bearing the genital setae st5. Paragenital pores located on soft skin close to st5. Anal shield triangular, its anterior half with lineate ornamentation, para-anal setae longer than unpaired post-anal seta, cribrum large, anal pores variable in position, located either on edge of anal shield or on minute platelets outside anal shield. Opisthogastric soft skin with one pair of oval metapodal plates and 11 pairs of smooth setae, ZV5 and JV5 very long and wavy. Exopodal plates behind coxa IV small and narrow. Peritrematal shield free, peritreme extending from coxa IV to mid level of coxa I, post-stigmatal section conspicuous and narrow, with two pairs of post-stigmatal pores, and one pair of pores anterior to the stigmata.

Gnathosoma. Epistome irregularly denticulate laterally, central margin smooth with apical point (Fig. 12). Hypostomal groove with six rows of denticles, each row with about ten small teeth, and smooth anterior transverse line. Hypostome with four pairs of setae, internal posterior hypostomal setae h3 longest (Fig. 13). Corniculi robust and horn-like, reaching mid-level of palp femur. Palp chaetotaxy: trochanter 2, femur 5, genu 6, tibia 12, tarsus 15, genu with a distinct dorso-distal triangular condyle, all setae smooth and needle-like, palp tarsal claw two-tined. Fixed digit of chelicera with a small triangular proximal tooth, about ten small teeth, a larger median tooth, and one large distal tooth (Fig. 14), pilus dentilis short and robust, dorsal seta short, thick, prostrate, movable digit with two large teeth, arthrodial membrane with a rounded flap and a few short filaments.

Legs. Legs II and III short, leg IV longest. Chaetotaxy: Leg I: coxa $00 / 10 / 10$, trochanter $10 / 11 / 21$, femur 2 $3 / 12 / 32$, genu $23 / 23 / 12$, tibia $23 / 23 / 12$. Leg II: coxa $00 / 10 / 10$, trochanter $10 / 10 / 21$, femur $23 / 12 / 21$ (mac-
roseta $p d 1252-284 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Fig. 15), genu $23 / 12 / 12$ ( $p d 1$ and $p d 2$ longest), tibia $22 / 12 / 12$. Leg III: coxa $00 / 10 / 1$ 0 , trochanter $11 / 11 / 10$, femur $12 / 11 / 01$ (macroseta $\operatorname{ad1} 281-298 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Fig. 16), genu $22 / 12 / 11$ (ad1 long 147$178 \mu \mathrm{~m}, p d 1$ long 165-172 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, ventral setae all thick, Fig. 17), tibia: $21 / 12 / 11$ ( $p l$ and ventral setae thick). Leg IV: coxa $00 / 10 / 00$, trochanter $11 / 10 / 11$, femur $12 / 11 / 01$ (macrosetae $a d 1370-400 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, ad $2134-144 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Fig. 18), genu $22 / 13 / 01$ (macrosetae $a d 1275-290 \mu \mathrm{~m}, p d 1253-268 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $a v$ thick, Fig. 19), tibia $22 / 13 / 12$ (pl1 and ventral setae thick). Tarsi I-IV with 18 setae $33 / 23 / 23+m v$, $m d$. On tarsus II, all, pl1 and all ventral setae thick. On tarsus IV macrosetae $a d 2(218-222 \mu \mathrm{~m}), p d 2(228-243 \mu \mathrm{~m}), a d 3(240-253 \mu \mathrm{~m}), p d 3(240-260 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ (Fig. 20). All pre-tarsi with a pair of claws and a long thin membranous ambulacrum.

Insemination structures. Insemination ducts opening on posterior margin of coxa III; sacculus indistinct, apparently unsclerotised.

Male. Dorsal idiosoma. Dorsal shield length $740-810 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, width at level of $\mathrm{r} 3441-462 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{n}=2)$ structure and chaetotaxy as for female.
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FIGURES 25-31. Hypoaspis larvicolus sp. nov., male. 25. Ventral idiosoma; 26. Chelicera; 27; femur II; 28. Genu II; 29. Tibia II; 30. Femur III; 31. Genu III. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for $25,27-31,50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for 26.

Ventral idiosoma (Fig. 25). Sternal, genital, endopodal and ventral shields fused to form a strongly ornamented composite shield with nine to ten pairs of setae and five pairs of pores, posterior margin of this shield concave and irregular, with unpaired setae in both specimens. Anal shield free, triangular, its anterior half with lineate ornamentation, para-anal setae longer than unpaired post-anal seta, cribrum large, anal pores either on edge of shield or in adjacent soft skin.

Gnathosoma (Figs 24, 26). Movable digit of chelicera with one large tooth, spermatodactyl long slender, more than double length of movable digit, with truncate tip and an apparently unsclerotised ventral section near its base, fixed digit with one large distal tooth and minute pilus dentilis.

Legs. Chaetotaxy as in female, leg II with several stout pointed spine-like setae on ventral surface of femur (Fig. 27), genu (Fig. 28), tibia (Fig. 29) and tarsus, $a v 1$ on femur II very stout, leg III with one macroseta on femur (Fig. 30) and two macrosetae on genu (Fig. 31).

Etymology. The name of this species refers to the fact that the specimens were found on the larva of Polyphylla sp. and not the adult.

Notes. Hypoaspis larvicolus differs from almost all other species in the genus by its long dorsal setae and the presence of four long macrosetae on tarsus IV, as well as the two macrosetae on genu III and IV, and 11 setae on tibia IV instead of the usual 10. The male is distinctive in having a separate anal shield, which in other species is fused to the sternal-genital-ventral shield.

## Hypoaspis maryamae sp. nov.

Specimens examined. Holotype, female, Iran, Yazd, $31^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 53^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, alt. $2250 \mathrm{~m}, 15$ May 2010, O. Joharchi coll., on Polyphylla olivieri (in JAZM). Paratypes: eight females, same data as holotype; four females, Tehran, Karaj, $36^{\circ} 04^{\prime}$ N, $51^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$ E, alt. $2200 \mathrm{~m}, 28$ July 2009, O. Joharchi coll., on Polyphylla olivieri (in JAZM and ANIC).

Description. Female. Dorsal idiosoma. Dorsal shield length $860-966 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, width at level of r3 504-546 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (n $=4)($ Fig. 32). Dorsal shield oval, narrower posteriorly, with weak postero-lateral reticulation, with 39 pairs of long setae, 22 pairs on podonotal section plus r6 outside the shield, and 17 pairs on opisthonotal section, including two pairs of Zx setae between J and Z setae. Seta Z4 longest (240-265 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), s3, s4, s5 (210-218 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) and j3 (170-180 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) also long, j 6 long enough to reach to J 1 , J 1 long enough to reach J 2 but not to J3, J4 not long enough to reach J5. Soft skin surrounding shield with ten pairs of setae.

Ventral idiosoma (Fig. 33). Tritosternum with paired pilose laciniae, pre-sternal area weakly sclerotised. Sternal shield with straight anterior margin and slightly concave posterior margin, with three pairs of smooth sternal setae, one pair of lyrifissures adjacent to setae st1, and a pair of circular pores between st2 and st3, anterior and antero-lateral surface of sternal shield with polygonal ornamentation, central and posterior are smooth. Metasternal platelets absent, metasternal setae st 4 and metasternal pores located in soft skin; endopodal plates II/III completely fused to sternal shield, endopodal plates III/IV elongate, narrow, curved. Genital shield tongue-shaped, length 327$332 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, maximum width 143-168 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, posterior edge rounded, surface with polygonal ornamentation, bearing the genital setae st5. Paragenital pores located on soft skin close to seta st5. Anal shield triangular, its anterior half with lineate ornamentation, unpaired post-anal seta shorter than para-anal setae, cribrum large, anal pores located on minute platelets outside anal shield. Opisthogastric skin striated, with one pair of oval metapodal plates and 11 pairs of smooth setae, ZV5 and JV5 very long and wavy. Exopodal plates behind coxa IV small and narrow. Peritrematal shield free, peritreme extending from coxa IV to posterior level of coxa I, post-stigmatal section conspicuous and narrow, with one pair of pores.

Gnathosoma. Epistome irregularly denticulate laterally, with smooth apical section (Fig. 34). Hypostomal groove with six rows of denticles, each with about ten small teeth, and a smooth anterior transverse line. Hypostome with four pairs of smooth pointed setae, internal posterior hypostomal setae h3 longest (Fig. 35), corniculi robust and horn-like, reaching mid-level of palp femur. Palp chaetotaxy: trochanter 2, femur 5, genu 6, tibia 12, tarsus 15 , genu with a distinct dorso-distal triangular condyle, all setae smooth and needle-like, palp tarsal claw twotined. Fixed digit of chelicera with a small basal triangular tooth about ten smaller teeth, and a larger median tooth (Fig. 36), pilus dentilis short and robust, dorsal seta long, thick, prostrate, movable digit with two large teeth, arthrodial membrane with a rounded flap and a few short filaments.

Legs. Legs II and III short, and leg IV longest. Chaetotaxy: Leg I: coxa $00 / 10 / 10$, trochanter $11 / 10 / 21$, femur $23 / 12 / 32$, genu $23 / 23 / 12$, tibia $23 / 23 / 12$. Leg II: coxa $00 / 10 / 10$, trochanter $10 / 10 / 21$, femur $23 / 12 /$ 21 ( $p d 1$ longest), genu $23 / 12 / 12$ ( $p d 1$ and $p d 2$ longest), tibia $22 / 12 / 12$. Leg III: coxa $00 / 10 / 10$, trochanter $11 /$ $10 / 11$, femur $12 / 11 / 01$ (ad1 a macroseta), genu $22 / 12 / 11$ (ventral setae all thick, $p d 1$, ad1 longest), tibia: $21 / 1$ 2/1 1 ( $p l$ and ventral setae thick). Leg IV: coxa $00 / 10 / 00$, trochanter $11 / 10 / 11$, femur $12 / 11 / 01$ (macroseta ad 1 342-350 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, ad2 84-85 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, Fig. 38), genu $22 / 13 / 01$ (macrosetae ad1 163-172 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, pd1 152-155 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, Fig. 39), tibia $21 / 13 / 12$ (pll and ventral setae thick), tarsi I-IV with 18 setae $33 / 23 / 23+m v$, $m d$, tarsus II with al1, pl1
and all ventral setae thick (Fig. 37), tarsus IV with four macrosetae $a d 2(210-230 \mu \mathrm{~m}), p d 2(210-230 \mu \mathrm{~m}), a d 3$ $(210-222 \mu \mathrm{~m}), p d 3(184-185 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ (Fig. 40). All pre-tarsi with a pair of claws and a long thin membranous ambulacrum.


FIGURES 32-40. Hypoaspis maryamae sp. nov., female. 32. Dorsal idiosoma; 33. Ventral idiosoma; 34. Epistome; 35. Hypostome; 36. Chelicera; 37. Tarsus II; 38. Femur IV; 39. Genu IV; 40. Tarsus IV. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for 32, 33, 37-40, 50 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ for 34-36.

Insemination structures: Insemination ducts opening on posterior margin of coxa III, sacculus indistinct, apparently unsclerotised.

Etymology. This species is named in honour of Maryam Moradi, who supported this project in many ways.
Notes. Hypoaspis maryamae differs from almost all other species in the genus by the presence of four long macrosetae on tarsus IV and two macrosetae on genu IV. Only H. integer has two macrosetae on genu IV; H. maryamae differs from $H$. integer by its longer sternal setae and the very long and wavy form of ZV5. It also differs from $H$. (H.) polyphyllae by its longer setae in the central area of the dorsal shield, especially j6, J1 and J2, and by having a greater number of opisthogastric setae.

## Hypoaspis melolonthae sp. nov.

Specimens examined. Holotype, female, Iran, Yazd, $31^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 54^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, alt $2300 \mathrm{~m}, 17$ May 2010, O. Joharchi coll., on Melolontha melolontha (in JAZM). Paratypes: six females, same data as holotype; three females, Tehran, Savojbolagh, Taleghan, $36^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 50^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, alt 1909 m , 1 July 2009, on Melolontha melolontha (in JAZM and ANIC).

Description. Female. Dorsal idiosoma. Dorsal shield length 796-840 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, width at level of r3 399-428 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (n =4) (Fig. 41). Shield oval shaped, narrower posteriorly, with weak posterolateral reticulation, with 37 pairs of long setae, 20 podonotal, 16 opisthonotal, including two pairs of Zx setae between J and Z setae, S 3 outside shield in soft skin, z6, r2, r3 absent. Seta Z4 longest (336-357 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), s3 ( $239-247 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), s4 (201-231 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), r4 (285-294 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) and $\mathrm{j} 3(231-252 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ also long. Seta j6 long enough to reach J 2 , J4 long enough to reach J5, J5 and Z5 similar in length, J1 not long enough to reach J3, soft skin surrounding shield with eight pairs of setae including S3.

Ventral idiosoma (Fig. 42). Tritosternum with paired pilose laciniae, pre-sternal area weakly sclerotised. Sternal shield with straight anterior margin, entire surface with distinct polygonal ornamentation, with three pairs of smooth setae, one pair of lyrifissures adjacent to setae st1, and a pair of circular pores between st2 and st3. Metasternal platelets absent, metasternal setae st4 and metasternal pores located in soft skin. Endopodal plates II/ III completely fused to sternal shield, endopodal plates III/IV elongate, narrow, curved. Genital shield tongueshaped, length 285-294 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, maximum width 118-134 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, posterior edge rounded, surface with polygonal ornamentation, bearing the genital setae st5. Paragenital pores located on soft skin close to edge of shield. Anal shield triangular, its anterior half with lineate ornamentation, para-anal setae similar in length to unpaired post-anal seta, cribrum large, anal pores on minute elongate platelets adjacent to shield. Opisthogastric skin strongly striated, with one pair of oval metapodal plates and 11 pairs of long smooth setae, ZV4, ZV5, JV4, JV5 very long and wavy. Exopodal plates behind coxa IV small and narrow. Peritrematal shield free, peritreme extending from coxa IV to anterior level of coxa I, post-stigmatal section conspicuous and narrow, with one pair of pores.

Gnathosoma. Epistome irregularly denticulate laterally, apical section smooth with terminal point (Fig. 43). Hypostomal groove with six rows of denticles, each row with about ten small teeth, and a smooth anterior transverse line. Hypostome with four pairs of setae, internal posterior hypostomal setae h3 longest (Fig. 44). Corniculi robust and horn-like, reaching mid-level of palp femur. Palp chaetotaxy: trochanter 2, femur 5, genu 6, tibia 12, tarsus 15 , genu with a distinct dorso-distal triangular condyle, all setae smooth and needle-like, palp tarsal claw twotined. Fixed digit of chelicera with about ten small teeth, a larger median tooth, and one large distal tooth (Fig. 45), pilus dentilis short and robust, dorsal seta short, thick, prostrate, movable digit with two large teeth, arthrodial membrane with a rounded flap and a few short filaments.

Legs. Legs II and III short, and leg IV longest. Chaetotaxy: Leg I: coxa $00 / 10 / 10$, trochanter $11 / 21 / 01$, femur $23 / 12 / 32$, genu $23 / 23 / 12$ ( $p d 1$ long), tibia $23 / 23 / 12$. Leg II: coxa $00 / 10 / 10$, trochanter $10 / 10 / 21$, femur $23 / 12 / 21$ (macroseta $p d 1302 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), genu 2 3/1 $2 / 12$ (macrosetae $p d 1181-197 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, pd2 93-105 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, Fig. 46), tibia $22 / 12 / 12$ ( $p v$ thick). Leg III: coxa $00 / 10 / 10$, trochanter $11 / 10 / 11$, femur $12 / 11 / 01$ (macrosetae ad 1 380-400 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, ad2 195-208 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, Fig. 48), genu $22 / 12 / 11$ (macrosetae $p d 1184-200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, ad1 181-200 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, lateral and ventral setae all thick, Fig. 49), tibia: $21 / 12 / 11$ ( $p l$ and ventral setae thick). Leg IV: coxa $00 / 10 / 00$, trochanter $21 / 10 / 10$, femur $12 / 11 / 01$ (macrosetae $a d 1420-450 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $a d 2155-160 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Fig. 51), genu 2/13/11 (macrosetae $a d 1300-330 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $p d 1312-355 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Fig. 52), tibia $21 / 13 / 12$ ( $p l 1$ and ventral setae thick). Tarsi IIV with 18 setae $33 / 23 / 23+m v, m d$. On tarsus II, all and pl1 thick, all ventral setae thick (Fig. 47). Tarsus III with four moderately long setae, $a d 2$, $p d 2$, $a d 3$, $p d 3,130-160 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig. 50). On tarsus IV $a d 2$ (193-210 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), $p d 2$
(200-220 $\mu \mathrm{m}), a d 3(252-268 \mu \mathrm{~m}), p d 3(235-246 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ macrosetae (Fig. 53). All pre-tarsi with a pair of claws and a long thin membranous ambulacrum.


FIGURES 41-53. Hypoaspis melolonthae sp. nov., female. 41. Dorsal idiosoma; 42, ventral idiosoma; 43. Epistome; 44. Hypostome; 45. Chelicera; 46. Genu II; 47. Tarsus II; 48. Femur III; 49. Genu III; 50. Tarsus III; 51. Femur IV; 52. Genu IV; 53. Tarsus IV. Scale bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for $41,42,46-53,50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for 43-45.

Insemination structures: Insemination ducts opening on posterior margin of coxa III; sacculus indistinct, apparently unsclerotised.

Etymology. The name of this species is taken from its host beetle, Melolontha melolontha.
Notes. Hypoaspis melolonthae differs from almost all other species in the genus by the presence of four long macrosetae on tarsus IV, two macrosetae on each of genu II, genu III and genu IV, and very long opisthogastric setae ZV4, ZV5, JV4, and JV5. It is also distinctive in lacking podonotal setae z6, r2 and r3.

## Hypoaspis pentodoni Costa

Hypoaspis pentodoni Costa, 1971: 71.

Specimens examined. Two females, Karaj, Nazarabad, $35^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ N, $50^{\circ} 38^{\prime}$ E, alt 1230 m, 12 July 2009, O. Joharchi coll., on adult Polyphylla olivieri.

Notes. This species was described from Israel, on the beetle Pentodon bispinosus. It is now recorded from Iran on a different species of host. Costa (1971) reported a macroseta on genu IV in this species, but his illustration and the type specimens show only setae of normal length (A.S. Baker, pers. comm.), and that is consistent with our specimens. This species may be recognised by the short setae in the central area of the dorsal shield and its relatively small sternal shield, which is wider than long.

## Hypoaspis phyllognathi Costa

Hypoaspis phyllognathi Costa, 1971: 74.
Hypoaspis (Hypoaspis) phyllognathi.— Karg, 1979: 70, 1982: 236, 1993: 137.

Specimens examined. Two females, Shiraz, Khaf, 25 October 2009, O. Joharchi coll., on Phyllognathus sp.
Notes. Hypoaspis phyllognathi was described from beetles in the genus Phyllognathus in Egypt and Israel by Costa (1971), and has not been reported since. There are no published records of this species from Iran. The species is easily recognised by the long dorsal shield setae (apart from z1 and Z5, which are short), and the long dorso-distal seta $a d 1$ on genu IV.

## Hypoaspis terrestris (Leonardi)

Laelaps terrestris Leonardi, 1899: 508 (page 16 in reprint).
Laelaps terrestris.- Costa \& Hunter, 1971: 324.
Hypoaspis (Hypoaspis) terrestris.— Bregetova, 1977: 496; Karg, 1979: 70, 1982: 236.
Coleolaelaps terrestris.- Grandi, 1925: 212.
not Laelaps terrestris Berlese, 1908: 14.

Specimens examined. Nine females, Nazarabad, 27 August 2008, O. Joharchi coll., on Pentodon idiota; four females, Karaj, 20 May 2009, O. Joharchi coll., on Polyphylla olivieri larvae and adults.

Notes. Hypoaspis terrestris is very distinctive in the extreme length of dorsal shield setae j3 and S5. The length of these setae separates this species from the related species $H$. polyphyllae, which was also present in our collections. Our specimens of $H$. terrestris show some variation in the length of seta $j 6$, which sometimes reaches past the base of J1, but is noticeably shorter in other specimens. The only available illustrations of this species appear to be those of Bregetova (1977), which does not show the length of these setae. This species has been reported from USSR and Italy, in soil and on Copris hispanus and an unidentified species of Cetoniinae. We now record it on two species of beetles in Iran, Pentodon idiota and Polyphylla olivieri. Our specimens were found both on the larvae of P. olivieri in soil, and phoretic on the adult beetles. This is consistent with the original observations of Leonardi (1899).

## Discussion

Our observations of the species discussed here support the separation of the genera Coleolaelaps and Hypoaspis, as detailed in Table 1, with two exceptions. First, Costa \& Hunter (1971) described the opisthonotal portion of the dorsal shield in Hypoaspis as not markedly narrower than the podonotal portion. In H. maryamae and H. melolonthae, the widest point on the dorsal shield is at the level of seta r3, and the opisthonotal section of the shield is slightly narrower than the podonotal section. However, in these species the dorsal shield gradually tapers from r3 back to S5, and does not have a clear division into podonotal and opisthonotal sections, with the division marked by lateral incisions, as it does in Coleolaelaps. Second, the new species Coleolaelaps costai has 17 pairs of setae on the podonotal shield, where Costa \& Hunter (1971) reported a maximum of 16 pairs. However, the edges of the podonotal shield are often weakly defined and irregular, so it is difficult to decide whether some setae are inside or outside the edge of the shield, especially $\mathrm{s} 1, \mathrm{~s} 2, \mathrm{~s} 3$.

TABLE 1. Distinction between females of Coleolaelaps and Hypoaspis (based on Costa \& Hunter, 1971).

|  | Coleolaelaps | Hypoaspis |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shape of dorsal shield | narrower posteriorly | rounded, oval |
| Lateral incisions in dorsal shield | present | absent |
| Setae on podonotal region of dorsal shield | maximum 17 pairs | at least 20 pairs |
| Setae on opisthonotal region of dorsal shield | 11 pairs | 17 pairs |
| Opisthonotal seta Z4 | not markedly elongate | very long and wavy |
| Anterior margin of sternal shield | often weakly defined | distinct |
| Hypostomal setae | h3 not markedly elongate | h3 clearly longest |
| Length of leg I | shorter than leg III | longer than leg III |
| Macrosetae on femur II and III | absent | present |
| Thick subterminal spines on tarsus II | absent | present |
| (setae all and pl1) | at least as long as | shorter than or equal to |
| Post-anal seta | para-anal setae | para-anal setae |
| Beetle hosts | Anoxia and Polyphylla | wide variety of hosts |

The ecological role of the mites discussed here is unknown. Some authors have reported that species of Hypoaspis and Coleolaelaps are parasites of the eggs and larvae of plant-feeding Scarabaeidae, and therefore may have potential as biological control agents. Rao (1971), Swan (1974), Lomer (1985) and Gerson et al. (2003) reported that Hypoaspis or Coleolaelaps caused some mortality of the eggs and larvae of rhinoceros beetles in the genus Oryctes. Khanjani \& Ueckermann (2005) reported that Hypoaspis polyphyllae punctured the integument of Polyphylla olivieri and allowed the escape of droplets of haemolymph, and Çobanoğlu et al. (2003) suggested that injuries of this type might allow the entry of pathogenic microorganisms into the beetle larva. A species of Hypoaspis was introduced from West Africa into the Tokelau Islands for control of scarabs attacking coconut plants (Swan, 1974), but there does not appear to be any evidence that these mites are effective biological control agents of pest beetles on a large scale. It is possible that these mites are not parasites of beetles at all, but harmless feeders on exudates from the beetles' body (Costa, 1971), or predators that feed on other small invertebrates in the microhabitats created by the beetles. Wilson \& Knollenberg (1987) showed experimentally that commensal mites could be either beneficial, neutral, or harmful to their beetle hosts, and that these relationships depend on a number of variables, including population densities and the presence of other species of mites. Laelapid mites in the genus Hypoaspis and related genera appear to offer rich opportunities for exploring the true nature of the relationship between mites and their insect hosts.
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