

Correspondence



http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.159.2.8

Typifications of select species of *Stephaniella* (Marchantiophyta: Stephaniellaceae)

CATALINA JUÁREZ-MARTÍNEZ¹

¹Departamento de Botánica, Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 70-233, 04510 México, D.F; cjuarez@st.ib.unam.mx

In a review of the literature, including a recent taxonomic revision of *Stephaniella* and *Stephaniellidium*, previous authors did not designate the nomenclatural types for three species of *Stephaniella* so that these names require lectotypification.

In the following paragraphs, I propose lectotypes for *Stephaniella boliviensis* (Stephani 1916: 182), *S. paraphyllina* (J.B. Jack 1894: 11) and *S. sleumeri* (K. Müller 1951: 177) based on materials received on loan from B, G, M and S herbaria. In designating these lectotypes the characteristics of the specimens were checked against the original description; the condition and size of the specimens were also considered for this purpose. The names of the species are listed in alphabetical order.

Stephaniella boliviensis Steph., Bibliotheca Botanica 87: 182, 1916.

Type:—BOLIVIA. Catena Yanakaka, ca. 4600 m, Jul 1911, *Th. Herzog 3750* (lectotype [here designated] M!, isolectotype G!, B!).

Note:—Stephani (1916: 182), in the original description, cited two syntypes, *Herzog 3750* and *Herzog 4794*. Váňa, in 1995, considered *3750* and *4794* at G to be isolectotype and isosyntype, respectively, but this information was not published (Váňa pers. comm.). Specimens of the remaining syntype, *Th. Herzog 4794*, were seen at G, M, and S.

Stephaniella paraphyllina J.B. Jack, Hedwigia 33: 11, 1894.

Type:—CORDILLERA ARGENTINO-BOLIVIANIS. Cienega, alpine region, 1873, *P.G. Lorentz s.n.* (lectotype [here designated] G-00120479!, isolectotype G!, M!).

Note:—From Paul G. Lorentz's collections of 1873, Jack (1894) described the genus *Stephaniella* with *S. paraphyllina* as the type species. The type locality (Cienega in alpibus Argentino-Bolivianis, in solo glaresolutoso) was cited, but no type specimens were mentioned.

Original material of *Stephaniella paraphyllina* (G00120478, G00120479, G00115491, G; M-0182810, M), collected by Lorentz in 1873, was examined. Schmitt and Winkler (1968) considered the specimen at M to be a syntype, but it is not clear if this specimen is part of the same or different gatherings because Lorentz did not number his gatherings. Nonetheless, for nomenclatural purposes I conclude that all of the above original elements are part of a single gathering. It should be noted that the moss collection at B, which likely contained Lorentz's best material, was destroyed (Stafleu & Cowan 1981: 157). At least one specimen in the Lorentz's collection at G (G-00115491) was likely examined by Jack as it was stamped with his herbarium name.

Stephaniella sleumeri Müll. Frib., Revue Bryologique et Lichénologique 20: 177, 1951.

Type:—ARGENTINA. Prov. Tucumán: Dep. Tafí, La Cienega, Morro de las Aguadas, ca. 2,800 m, 28 Jan 1950, *H. Sleumer s.n.* (lectotype [here designated] S-B47665!, isolectotype B!, S!).

Note:—Müller (1951) only cited the type locality in the protologue, but did not cite a type specimen. Specimens with the same collecting data as those given in the original description are deposited at B and S and I conclude that

these specimens represent a single gathering. No additional type information was provided when *Stephaniellidium sleumeri* (Müll.Frib.) S. Winkl. ex Grolle, *Acta Botanica Fennica* 121: 38. 1983, was proposed.

Notes

Stephaniella hamata Steph., *Bulletin de l'Herbier Boissier ser. 2*, 1: 1024, 1901. Type:—ARGENTINA. Córdoba, *P.G. Lorentz s.n.* (lectotype [Grolle in 1967, on herbarium label] G-00069943!).

Stephani (1901) cited specimens from Argentina and Brazil in the protologue, but did not designate a type. Grolle, in 1967, designated *Lorentz s.n.* (G-00069943) as the lectotype on a label, but apparently did not publish his choice (Váňa pers. comm.). Schmitt and Winkler (1968: 126) subsequently formally proposed the lectotype.

Acknowledgements

I thank to the curators of B, G, M and S herbaria for the loan of material. Dr. Jiri Váňa offered comments on some species of genus *Stephaniella*. CONACYT, Mexico, provided financial support for this research as part of Posgrado en Ciencias Biológicas, Instituto de Biología, UNAM.

Thanks are extended to Drs. F. Chiang, C. Delgadillo, H. Ochoterena and J. Reveal for their comments on the nomenclature problems and the revision of the manuscript.

References

Grolle, R. (1983) Nomina generica hepaticarum; references, types and synonymies. Acta Botanica Fennica 121: 1–62.

Jack, J.B. (1894) Stephaniella paraphyllina Jack nov. gen. Hepaticarum. Hedwigia 33: 11-14.

Müller, K. (1951) Neue Lebermoose. Revue Bryologique Lichénologique 20: 176–178.

Schmitt, U. & Winkler, S. (1968) Systematische Untersuchungen über die foliose Lebermoosgattung *Stephaniella* Jack. *Österreichische Botanische Zeitschrift* 115: 120–133.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf01373533

Stafleu, F.A. & Cowan, R.S. (1981) Taxonomic literature: A selective guide to botanical publications and collections with dates, commentaries and types Vol. III: Lh-O, 157 p. The Hague, The Netherlands, Second edition.

Stephani, F. (1901) Species hepaticarum. Bulletin de l'Herbier Boissier 1: 1022–1240.

Stephani, F. (1916) *Hepaticae*, pp 173–268. In: T. Herzog, Die Bryophyten meiner zweiten Reise durch Bolivia. *Bibliotheca Botanica* 87: 1–347.

http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.736